

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, in light of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Claims 2, 4, 6, 9-10, 14, 21-23 and 27 are pending, with claims 2, 4, 6, 14, 21 and 27 amended, and claims 11-13 and 24-26 cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer by the present amendment. Claims 2 and 21 are independent.

In the Official Action, claims 2, 4 and 21-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph; claims 2, 4, 6, 9-10 and 21-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Jun (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2001/0053277, now U.S. Patent No. 7,149,411). Claims 11-14 and 24-27 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication of allowable subject matter.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the telephone discussion between the Examiner and Applicant's representative on February 23, 2009. During the discussion, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, were discussed. The Examiner indicated that the present response overcame these rejections, as well as the rejections in view of the prior art, but that a final agreement was not possible prior to receiving a formal response.

Claims 2 and 21 are amended to recite the allowable features of now cancelled claims 11-13 and 24-26 in alternative form, and in response to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Allowable claims 14 and 27 are amended into independent form. Claims 4, 6 and 22-23 are amended to maintain antecedent support. No new matter is added.

Applicant traverses the rejection of claims 2 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as the feature of a window designating a predetermined second drag and play section is shown in Applicant's Figs. 5-7. Nonetheless, the term "predetermined second drag and play section" has been deleted from the claims, with claims 2 and 21 now reciting "a window designating a predetermined section with reference to the first movement location" as recited in Applicant's originally filed independent claims. Thus, the rejection is moot.


Applicant traverses the rejection of claims 4 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as the feature of an asymmetric window only extending in one direction from the first movement location, the one direction being a same direction as a direction of the drag and play request, is shown in Fig. 3A, and described at least in paragraph [0039] of Applicant's originally filed specification ("...a predetermined section ranging from the first movement location J to a predetermined location is set up at the window W.") See also Fig. 3B, and the corresponding description. See also window W₂ in Fig. 5. Nonetheless, the rejection is moot in view of Applicant's amendment to the claims.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Michael Monaco Reg. No. 52,041 at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: **February 27, 2009**

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Esther H. Chong
Registration No.: 40,953
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
8110 Gatehouse Road
Suite 100 East
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000
Attorney for Applicant